

भारत सरकार  
खान मंत्रालय  
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो  
क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक का कार्यालय

स्पीड पोस्ट

संख्या : 614(2)/एमपी-बी-220/05-डीडीएन.

100, ओल्ड नेहरू कालोनी,  
देहरादून (उत्तराखण्ड),  
दिनांक : 16.06.2016

सेवा में : मै0 फ्रैन्ड्स मिनरल्स  
गांव - शमा

पो0 ऑ0-दुगाना,  
तहसील-कामरू बाया पांवटा साहिब,  
जिला-सिरमौर (हि0प्र0)।

विषय : मै0 फ्रैन्ड्स मिनरल्स की जिला -सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0) स्थित बांदला घुनापत्थर खान की Review &  
Update of Mining Plan with PMCP

सन्दर्भ : आपका पत्र दि0 10.05.2016

महोदय,

उपरोक्त ड्राफ्ट Review & Update of Mining Plan with PMCP इस कार्यालय में दो प्रतियों में दि. 16.05.2016 को प्राप्त हुई है। खान का निरीक्षण श्री डी0 आर0 गुर्जर, सहायक खान नियंत्रक द्वारा दि0 14.06.2016 को किया गया। निरीक्षण एवं ड्राफ्ट Review & Update of Mining with PMCP के अवलोकन के पश्चात पाई गई कमियों का ब्यौरा अनुलग्नक में दिया गया है।

अतः आपको सलाह दी जाती है कि आप अनुलग्नक में दर्शाई गई सभी कमियों को दूर कर Review & Update of Mining Plan with PMCP तीन प्रतियों में साफ्ट कॉपी (सीडी) के साथ इस कार्यालय में पत्र के जारी होने के 30 दिन के अन्दर प्रस्तुत करें ताकि आगे की कार्यवाही की जा सके। निर्धारित 30 दिन में Review & Update of Mining Plan with PMCP सभी कमियों को दूर करते हुए प्रस्तुत नहीं करने की स्थिति में इस पर अन्तिम कार्यवाही कर दी जायेगी। ड्राफ्ट Review & Update of Mining Plan with PMCP की एक प्रति भी जो इस पत्र के साथ संलग्न कर आपको भेजी जा रही है, जिसको भी फाइनल Review & Update of Mining Plan with PMCP की तीन प्रतियों के साथ प्रस्तुत करें।

संलग्न : एक प्रति.

भवदीय

(के0 एस0 यादव)  
क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक  
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ-

1. खान नियंत्रक (उ0), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, अजमेर।
2. श्री पंकज पाण्डे, आर0 क्यू0 पी0, बी-1/21 सेक्टर- बी अलीगंज लखनऊ-226 024 इस पत्र के साथ ड्राफ्ट Review & Update of Mining Plan with PMCP की एक प्रति भी संलग्न की जा रही है। (स्पीड पोस्ट)

16/06/2016  
16/06/2016

क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक  
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो

**Scrutiny comments in respect of Review & Updation of Mining Plan of Bandla Limestone Mine of M/s friends Minerals (4.91 Hectares) in District Sirmour, Himachal Pradesh State submitted under rule 17(1) of MCR 2016 and 23(B) of MCDR 1988.**

1. On the cover page information about the rules under which the document is submitted is not correctly mentioned.
2. On the cover page lease period , IBM registration No. and mine code has not been given.
3. There are no serial numbers given to the annexed documents as you have mentioned in the list of annexure.
4. Enclosed photos ID with address proof of the lessees are not clear visible.
5. Under introduction chapter in the first paragraph & under item no 5 & 6 the present document & rule no. is wrongly mentioned.
6. Under General chapter on page no. 2 the IBM registration no. has not been furnished.
7. Under General chapter on page no. 2 it is mentioned that copy of registration of firm is enclosed as **annexure 5** but the same has not been enclosed.
8. E mail id of the firm mentioned under chapter General and Location & accessibility is different. Accurate and same email id should be mentioned at both the places.
9. The conversation from Bigha to Hectare as per Norms of Revenue department of HP is to be mentioned and should be certified by Geology & Mining Department. All these details should be enclosed in the introductory chapter also.
10. **Authentic lease plan with all the Khasra details of the villages duly verified by Geology & Mining department of State Govt showing the location of the lease area with DGPS coordinates of boundary pillars should be enclosed. Authentic lease plan shall be the basis for the preparation of all the plans and sections. There should not be any deviations in all the plans and sections with respect to configuration given in the lease plan. Lessee should note that fair copies of mining plan shall not be accepted without such Khasra details with correct coordinates of the boundary pillars.**
11. Under chapter no. 3 the exploitation of limestone figure are not matching the submitted annual returns figures. Review for the year 2015-16 has not been given. Review given on page no. 7 does not speak about extent of implementation of mine development and bench formation envisaged in approved SOM.
12. Copy of violation letters & its compliances have not been enclosed as mentioned on page no 9 & in the list of annexure.
13. The chapter Geology of part A on page no 12 the local grid coordinates are not matching with relevant plates.
14. Local grid of proposed trail pit on the page no 14 & 23 are not matching with relevant plates
15. In Part A Para Geology chapter on page no. 16 all the quantities of mineral occurring up to 1395mRL has been considered under 111 category & all the quantities of limestone further 10m below proved limit has been considered under 122 category. Which is not justified? Proved & probable reserve has been assessed without quantifying the measured mineral resource (331). indicated mineral resources (332)

and inferred mineral resource (333).RQP is required to show ultimate pit slope of the area. Portion of the measured mineral resources (331) falling within mineable part is to be categorized under 111 category. Similarly part of indicated mineral resource falling in mineable category is to be under probable category (121 &122).Remaining part of 331 & 332 falling non mineable portion of the area is to be categorized under 221(Feasibility mineral resource) and 222(pre feasibility mineral resource).

16. In Part A Para Geology chapter on page no. 17 the enclosed table is not complete.
17. On page no. 12 it is mentioned that one mining pit exist in the field while on page no 19 it is mentioned that three mining pit exists. It is totally contradictory. Precise resurvey of the whole area is to be carried out and mark accurate contours, haul roads, existing pits, dumps correctly on all the relevant plates.
18. In Part A Mining chapter on page no. 21 benches face length shown up to 161m. But as per ground condition the proposal of such long face length of benches is not possible. Suitable face length of benches should be proposed as per ground conditions. Similar corrections are to be made in all financial year wise planning and relevant plates.
19. **In all the relevant plates two waste dump showing while in text on page no. 24 only one waste dump showing it is totally contradictory. It shows that there is no proper survey in the field as well as Documents prepared very casually.**
20. **During the field visit vertical escarpments were observed No excavation shall be proposed in the vicinity of any high side wall/escarpments.**
21. Under mining chapter there is no discussion about drilling& blasting and extent of mechanization.
22. In Part A Mining chapter the life of mine is to be calculated as per new reserve calculations.
23. In Part A PMCP chapter the area shown under pits and quarries is to be rechecked and revised as per fresh accurate survey
24. During the field visit it was observed that waste material flowing towards natural drainage so suitable proposals of check dams are to be incorporated in PMCP for prevention of free flowing of waste material towards natural drainage.
25. There is no heading of the Consent letter/undertaking/certificate from lessee & earlier rule has been mentioned in the Consent letter/undertaking/certificate from lessee.
26. In certificate of RQP it is mentioned Modified Mining Plan while on cover page the name of document is Review and Updation of mining plan.
27. After recalculation of reserve necessary corrections to be made in the enclosed feasibility report .Financial analysis should also be checked again. The production figures mentioned in feasibility report are not matching with mining chapter.
28. Latest air, water & mineral sampling report from NABL laboratory should be enclosed.
29. All the annexure should be attested by RQP for their authenticity.
30. Certificate of registration of company/firm and memorandum of the association has not been enclosed.
31. There are several typographical mistakes, which require to be corrected.

